

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILD	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/618,307	07/14/2003		Blake Schritter	23166.00	4753	
75	590	05/17/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard C. Lit	man		BRINSON, PATRICK F			
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. Box 15035				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arllington, VA			3752			

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/618,307	SCHRITTER, BLAKE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick F. Brinson	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (FTO-932) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,307

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1 and 4 both recite a "rolled" rib portion, however it is not made clear what a "rolled" rib is. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 4,881,553 to **Grossman**.

The patent to **Grossman** discloses a sleeve comprising a one-piece body (2) defining an enclosure, the one-piece body having an open bottom and a closed top. A ribbed portion (6) disposed around and defining the open bottom and a reinforced portion defining the closed top. Col. 1, lines 34-43, discloses that the sleeve is a latex sheath having reinforcing elastic mesh embedded in the walls. The reinforcing mesh may be provided only in the upper half or upper one third end of the sheath. The upper end is with reference to the closed end of the sheath, as recited in claims 1-3.

Art Unit: 3752

Grossman does not disclose the rib portion (6) as being formed by rolling, however, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US. 3,574,312 to Miller.

The patent to Miller discloses, fig. 4, a pipe (30) serving as a conduit, and having an open end. A one-piece body (2) is formed of a material of relatively high flexibility and elasticity defining an enclosure having an open bottom and closed top. A ribbed portion (10) disposed around and defining the open bottom and a reinforced portion (28) defining the closed top. The sleeve (2) is removably disposed on the open end in order to seal the open end, thereby preventing leakage of hydraulic fluid from the open end and the ingress of debris into the open end, as recited in claims 1, 2, 4 and 5. Col. 1, lines 65 and 66, as well as col. 3, lines 17-19 disclose that an object of the invention is to provide a closure that forms a secure seal with the threads and other surfaces that define openings. Miller does not disclose the rib portion (6) as being formed by rolling, however, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,307

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of GB reference No. 2,238,303 to Hwang.

The patent to Miller discloses the closure as being formed from a material of relatively high flexibility and elasticity, but does not disclose the material as being latex. The patent to Hwang discloses a closure (21) for a fluid container (4). Hwang disclose that the lid formed of latex can effectively seal the opening. It is flexible and stretchable so that a latex lid with a certain dimension can be used for different sizes of cups. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute for the material of the closure of Miller, a latex material, as taught by Hwang, wherein it is shown that latex provides the elasticity and flexibility to allow it to conform to openings of different sizes in order to seal them. Latex material is shown to be an alternative material achieving the same function as the material utilized by Miller.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,307 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Kopelowicz '950 and '249, McEwen, Schroeder, and Bowman are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing sheaths having similar structure to that of the present invention. The patents to Hetzer et al., Ferguson, Gregory, Gray, Jr., Damsel, Ryan et al., Nixon, and Foster et al. are also pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing pipe closures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0111. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752

P. F. Brinson May 14, 2004